

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/027,895	12/19/2001	Jani Pirkola	413-010762-US(PAR)	3213
2512 Perman & Gre	7590 07/29/200 en LLP	EXAMINER		
99 Hawley La	ne	DESIR, PIERRE LOUIS		
Stratford, CT (06614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
				-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/027,895	PIRKOLA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	PIERRE-LOUIS DESIR	2617		

	PIERRE-LOUIS DESIR	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (approximation). 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origit than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	e of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or		ated status	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / tinonamont (TOE OE+).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- 		be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:			
/PIERRE-LOUIS DESIR/	/D	wayne D Roet/	

Examiner, Art Unit 2617 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office /Dwayne D. Bost/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants state that Tuomela system differs from the operation of the subject matter of present claims in that the automatic operation is accomplished at the location of the receiving party while in claim 1, the automatic operation is accomplished at the location of the calling party.

Examiner respectfully disagrees, the automatic operation cannot be accomplished at the location of the receiving party if the context information used is stored in the shared server (paragraphs 10, 16, and 19). By having the context information stored at the shared server, decision regarding the receiving party, is made in direct relation to the informatio obtained from the shared receiver, wherein the decision, i.e., operation is accomplished at the receiving party. It should also be noted that when the shared server is used, the receiving party steelohone equipment will not be iniging (paragraph 2).

Regarding applicants' arguments regarding Karves, it should be noted that Karves was used for the special purpose of showing that it is well known in the art to have a calling party performed a search query to select a phone number of a receiving party. Therefore, one skilled in the art would appreciate to have, in combining the stated references, a calling party first performing a search query to select a phone number of a receiving party, then through a shared server, obtaining context information regarding the receiving party.